such provisions. Such application shall show the degree of compliance in the district with the time limits set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of section 3161 during the twelve-calendar-month period preceding the date of such application and shall contain a proposed order and schedule for such implementation, which includes the date on which the provisions of section 3162 are to become effective in the district, the effect such implementation will have upon such district's practices and procedures, and provision for adequate notice to all interested parties.

(2) After review of any such application, the judicial council of the circuit shall enter an order implementing the provisions of section 3162 in their entirety in the district making application, or shall return such application to the chief judge of such district, together with an explanation setting forth such council's reasons for refusing to enter such order.

(d)(1) The approval of any application made pursuant to subsection (a) or (c) by a judicial council of a circuit shall be reported within ten days to the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, together with a copy of the application, a written report setting forth in sufficient detail the reasons for granting such application, and, in the case of an application made pursuant to subsection (a), a proposal for alleviating congestion in the district.

(2) The Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall not later than ten days after receipt transmit such report to the Congress and to the Judicial Conference of the United States. The judicial council of the circuit shall not grant a suspension to any district within six months following the expiration of a prior suspension without the consent of the Congress by Act of Congress. The limitation on granting a suspension made by this paragraph shall not apply with respect to any judicial district in which the prior suspension is in effect on the date of the enactment of the Speedy Trial Act Amendments Act of 1979.

(e) If the chief judge of the district court concludes that the need for suspension of time limits in such district under this section is of great urgency, he may order the limits suspended for a period not to exceed thirty days. Within ten days of entry of such order, the chief judge shall apply to the judicial council of the circuit for a suspension pursuant to subsection (a).

(Added Pub. L. 93–619, title I, 101, Jan. 3, 1975, 88 Stat. 2085; amended Pub. L. 96–43, 10, Aug. 2, 1979, 93 Stat. 331.)

REFERENCES IN TEXT

The date of enactment of the Speedy Trial Act Amendments Act of 1979, referred to in subsec. (d)(2), means the date of enactment of Pub. L. 96-43, which was approved Aug. 2, 1979.

AMENDMENTS

 $1979\mathrm{-\!Pub}.$ L. $96\mathrm{-}43,\,\$10(6),$ inserted ''and implementation'' in section catchline.

Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 96-43, §10(1), inserted "as provided by subsection (b)".

Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 96-43, §10(2), (3), substituted provisions authorizing the circuit judicial council, upon application of the chief judge of a district, to grant a suspension of the time limits prescribed by section 3161(c) of this title for provisions requiring such circuit

council to apply to the Judicial Council of the United States for a suspension of such time limits and substituted provision placing a one hundred and eighty day limit on any time increase from indictment to trial for provision placing such limit for any increase from arraignment to trial.

Subsec. (c). Pub. L. 96-43, \$10(4), substituted provisions authorizing the chief judge of any district, with the approval of the planning group convened in such district, to apply to the circuit council to implement the provisions of section 3162 of this title at any time prior to the date the sanctions prescribed therein were to become effective, so long as there was concurrence that the district was prepared to fully implement the provisions of such section for provisions specifying the reporting requirements of this chapter, assuring involvement of the Congress in the suspension process, and guaranteeing that there be an interval of at least six months between consecutive suspension periods. See subsec. (d) of this section.

Subsecs. (d), (e). Pub. L. 96–43, $\S10(5)$, added subsecs. (d) and (e).

CHAPTER 209—EXTRADITION

Sec.			
3181.	Scope and limitation of chapter.		
3182.	Fugitives from State or Territory to State, District, or Territory.		
3183.	Fugitives from State, Territory, or Possession into extraterritorial jurisdiction of United States.		
3184.	Fugitives from foreign country to United States.		
3185.	Fugitives from country under control of United States into the United States.		
3186.	Secretary of State to surrender fugitive.		
3187.	Provisional arrest and detention within extraterritorial jurisdiction.		
3188.	Time of commitment pending extradition.		
3189.	Place and character of hearing.		
3190.	Evidence on hearing.		
3191.	Witnesses for indigent fugitives.		
3192.	Protection of accused.		
3193.	Receiving agent's authority over offenders.		
3194.	Transportation of fugitive by receiving agent.		
3195.	Payment of fees and costs.		
3196.	Extradition of United States citizens.		

AMENDMENTS

1996—Pub. L. 104–294, title VI, §601(f)(9), (10), Oct. 11, 1996, 110 Stat. 3500, inserted comma after "District" in item 3182 and after "Territory" in item 3183.

1990—Pub. L. 101–623, §11(b), Nov. 21, 1990, 104 Stat. 3356, added item 3196.

§3181. Scope and limitation of chapter

- (a) The provisions of this chapter relating to the surrender of persons who have committed crimes in foreign countries shall continue in force only during the existence of any treaty of extradition with such foreign government.
- (b) The provisions of this chapter shall be construed to permit, in the exercise of comity, the surrender of persons, other than citizens, nationals, or permanent residents of the United States, who have committed crimes of violence against nationals of the United States in foreign countries without regard to the existence of any treaty of extradition with such foreign government if the Attorney General certifies, in writing, that—
 - (1) evidence has been presented by the foreign government that indicates that had the offenses been committed in the United States, they would constitute crimes of violence as defined under section 16 of this title; and

- (2) the offenses charged are not of a political nature.
- (c) As used in this section, the term "national of the United States" has the meaning given such term in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)).

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 822; Pub. L. 104-132, title IV, §443(a), Apr. 24, 1996, 110 Stat. 1280)

HISTORICAL AND REVISION NOTES

Based on title 18, U.S.C., 1940 ed., §658 (R.S. §5274). Minor changes were made in phraseology.

AMENDMENTS

1996—Pub. L. 104-132 designated existing provisions as subsec. (a) and added subsecs. (b) and (c).

EXTRADITION TREATIES INTERPRETATION

Pub. L. 105-323, title II, Oct. 30, 1998, 112 Stat. 3033, provided that:

"SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.

"This title may be cited as the Extradition Treaties Interpretation Act of 1998'.

"SEC. 202. FINDINGS.

"Congress finds that-

- "(1) each year, several hundred children are kidnapped by a parent in violation of law, court order, or legally binding agreement and brought to, or taken from, the United States;
- "(2) until the mid-1970's, parental abduction generally was not considered a criminal offense in the United States:
- "(3) since the mid-1970's, United States criminal law has evolved such that parental abduction is now a criminal offense in each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia;
- '(4) in enacting the International Parental Kidnapping Crime Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-173; 107 Stat. 1998; 18 U.S.C. 1204), Congress recognized the need to combat parental abduction by making the act of international parental kidnapping a Federal criminal offense;
- "(5) many of the extradition treaties to which the United States is a party specifically list the offenses that are extraditable and use the word 'kidnapping'. but it has been the practice of the United States not to consider the term to include parental abduction because these treaties were negotiated by the United States prior to the development in United States criminal law described in paragraphs (3) and (4);
- "(6) the more modern extradition treaties to which the United States is a party contain dual criminality provisions, which provide for extradition where both parties make the offense a felony, and therefore it is the practice of the United States to consider such treaties to include parental abduction if the other foreign state party also considers the act of parental abduction to be a criminal offense; and
- "(7) this circumstance has resulted in a disparity in United States extradition law which should be rectified to better protect the interests of children and their parents.
- "SEC. 203. INTERPRETATION OF EXTRADITION TREATIES.
- "For purposes of any extradition treaty to which the United States is a party, Congress authorizes the interpretation of the terms 'kidnaping' and 'kidnapping' to include parental kidnapping."
- JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE TO INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR Yugoslavia and International Tribunal for RWANDA
- Pub. L. 104-106, div. A, title XIII, §1342, Feb. 10, 1996, 110 Stat. 486, as amended by Pub. L. 111–117, div. F, title VII, §7034(t), Dec. 16, 2009, 123 Stat. 3364, provided that:

- "(a) Surrender of Persons.—
 "(1) Application of united states extradition LAWS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), the provisions of chapter 209 of title 18, United States Code, relating to the extradition of persons to a foreign country pursuant to a treaty or convention for extradition between the United States and a foreign government, shall apply in the same manner and extent to the surrender of persons, including United States citizens, to-
 - "(A) the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia, pursuant to the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia; and
- "(B) the International Tribunal for Rwanda, pursuant to the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Rwanda.
- "(2) EVIDENCE ON HEARINGS.—For purposes of applying section 3190 of title 18, United States Code, in accordance with paragraph (1), the certification referred to in that section may be made by the principal diplomatic or consular officer of the United States resident in such foreign countries where the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia or the International Tribunal for Rwanda may be permanently or temporarily situated.
- "(3) PAYMENT OF FEES AND COSTS.—(A) The provisions of the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia and of the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Rwanda shall apply in lieu of the provisions of section 3195 of title 18, United States Code, with respect to the payment of expenses arising from the surrender by the United States of a person to the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia or the International Tribunal for Rwanda, respectively, or from any proceedings in the United States relating to such surrender.
- "(B) The authority of subparagraph (A) may be exercised only to the extent and in the amounts provided in advance in appropriations Acts.
- (4) NONAPPLICABILITY OF THE FEDERAL RULES.—The Federal Rules of Evidence [set out in the Appendix to Title 28, Judiciary and Judicial Procedure] and the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure [set out in the Appendix to this title] do not apply to proceedings for the surrender of persons to the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia or the International Tribunal for Rwanda.
- "(b) Assistance to Foreign and International Tri-BUNALS AND TO LITIGANTS BEFORE SUCH TRIBUNALS. [Amended section 1782 of Title 28, Judiciary and Judicial Procedure.]
 - (c) Definitions.—For purposes of this section:
 - '(1) International tribunal for Yugoslavia.—The term 'International Tribunal for Yugoslavia' means the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia, as established by United Nations Security Council Resolution 827 of May 25, 1993. "(2) INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA.—The
 - term 'International Tribunal for Rwanda' means the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighboring States, as established by United Nations Security Council Resolution 955 of November 8, 1994.
 - (3) AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR YUGOSLAVIA.—The term 'Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia' means the Agreement on Surrender of Persons Between the Government of the United States and the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Law in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia, signed at The Hague, October 5, 1994, as amended.

"'(4) AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA.—The term 'Agreement between the United States and the International Tribunal for Rwanda' means the Agreement on Surrender of Persons Between the Government of the United States and the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighboring States, signed at The Hague, January 24, 1995."

EXTRADITION AND MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE TREATIES AND MODEL COMPREHENSIVE ANTIDRUG LAWS

Pub. L. 100–690, title IV, §4605, Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4290, which directed greater emphasis on updating of extradition treaties and on negotiating mutual legal assistance treaties with major drug producing and drug-transit countries, and called for development of model treaties and anti-narcotics legislation, was repealed by Pub. L. 102–583, §6(e)(1), Nov. 2, 1992, 106 Stat. 4933

Pub. L. 100–204, title VIII, §803, Dec. 22, 1987, 101 Stat. 1397, provided that: "The Secretary of State shall ensure that the Country Plan for the United States diplomatic mission in each major illicit drug producing country and in each major drug-transit country (as those terms are defined in section 481(i) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 [22 U.S.C. 2291(i)] includes, as an objective to be pursued by the mission—

"(1) negotiating an updated extradition treaty which ensures that drug traffickers can be extradited to the United States. or

to the United States, or "(2) if an existing treaty provides for such extradition, taking such steps as may be necessary to ensure that the treaty is effectively implemented."

Pub. L. 99–93, title I, §133, Aug. 16, 1985, 99 Stat. 420, provided that: "The Secretary of State, with the assistance of the National Drug Enforcement Policy Board, shall increase United States efforts to negotiate updated extradition treaties relating to narcotics offenses with each major drug-producing country, particularly those in Latin America."

EXTRADITION AGREEMENTS

The United States currently has bilateral extradition agreements with the following countries:

Country	Date signed	Entered into force	Citation
Albania Antigua and Barbuda.	Mar. 1, 1933 June 3, 1996	Nov. 14, 1935 July 1, 1999	49 Stat. 3313. TIAS.
Argentina	June 10, 1997	June 15, 2000	TIAS 12866.
Australia	Dec. 22, 1931	Aug. 30, 1935	47 Stat. 2122.
	May 14, 1974	May 8, 1976	27 UST 957.
	Sept. 4, 1990	Dec. 21, 1992	1736 UNTS 344.
Austria	Jan. 8, 1998	Jan. 1, 2000	TIAS 12916.
	July 20, 2005	Feb. 1, 2010	
Bahamas	Mar. 9, 1990	Sept. 22, 1994	TIAS.
Barbados	Feb. 28, 1996	Mar. 3, 2000	TIAS.
Belgium	Apr. 27, 1987	Sept. 1, 1997	TIAS.
Deline	Dec. 16, 2004 Mar. 30, 2000	Feb. 1, 2010 Mar. 27, 2001	TDT A C
Belize Bolivia	June 27, 1995	Nov. 21, 1996	TIAS.
Brazil	Jan. 13, 1961	Dec. 17, 1964	15 UST 2093.
DI azii	June 18, 1962	Dec. 17, 1964	15 UST 2112.
Bulgaria	Mar. 19, 1924	June 24, 1924	43 Stat. 1886.
Daigaria	June 8, 1934	Aug. 15, 1935	49 Stat. 3250.
	Sept. 19, 2007	May 21, 2009	10 2000.
Burma	Dec. 22, 1931	Nov. 1, 1941	47 Stat. 2122.
Canada	Dec. 3, 1971	Mar. 22, 1976	27 UST 983.
	June 28, July	Mar. 22, 1976	27 UST 1017.
	9, 1974.		
	Jan. 11, 1988	Nov. 26, 1991	TIAS.
C1- 11 -	Jan. 12, 2001	Apr. 30, 2003	00 04 - 4 1050
Chile	Apr. 17, 1900	June 26, 1902	32 Stat. 1850.
Colombia Congo	Sept. 14, 1979 Jan. 6, 1909	Mar. 4, 1982 July 27, 1911	TIAS. 37 Stat. 1526.
(Brazza-	Jan. 15, 1929	May 19, 1929	46 Stat. 2276.
ville).	Apr. 23, 1936	Sept. 24, 1936	50 Stat. 1117.
Costa Rica	Dec. 4, 1982	Oct. 11, 1991	TIAS.
Cuba	Apr. 6, 1904	Mar. 2, 1905	33 Stat. 2265.
	Dec. 6, 1904	Mar. 2, 1905	33 Stat. 2273.
	Jan. 14, 1926	June 18, 1926	44 Stat. 2392.
Cyprus	June 17, 1996	Sept. 14, 1999	TIAS.
	Jan. 20, 2006	Feb. 1, 2010	

Country	Date signed	Entered into force	Citation
Czech Republic ¹ .	July 2, 1925 Apr. 29, 1935 May 16, 2006	Mar. 29, 1926 Aug. 28, 1935 Feb. 1, 2010	44 Stat. 2367. 49 Stat. 3253.
Denmark Dominica	June 23, 2005 Oct. 10, 1996	July 31, 1974 Feb. 1, 2010 May 25, 2000 Aug. 2, 1910	25 UST 1293. TIAS.
Dominican Republic. Ecuador	June 19, 1909 June 28, 1872		36 Stat. 2468.
Egypt El Salvador Estonia	June 28, 1872 Sept. 22, 1939 Aug. 11, 1874 Apr. 18, 1911 Nov. 8, 1923 Oct. 10, 1934 Feb. 8, 2006 June 25, 2003	Nov. 12, 1873 May 29, 1941 Apr. 22, 1875 July 10, 1911 Nov. 15, 1924 May 7, 1935 Apr. 7, 2009 Feb. 1, 2010	18 Stat. 199. 55 Stat. 1196. 19 Stat. 572. 37 Stat. 1516. 43 Stat. 1849. 49 Stat. 3190.
Union. Fiji	Dec. 22, 1931 July 14, 1972,	June 24, 1935 Aug. 17, 1973	47 Stat. 2122. 24 UST 1965.
Finland	Aug. 17, 1973. June 11, 1976 Dec. 16, 2004 Apr. 23, 1996	May 11, 1980 Feb. 1, 2010	31 UST 944.
France	Apr. 23, 1996 Sept. 30, 2004 Dec. 22, 1931	May 11, 1980 Feb. 1, 2010 Feb. 1, 2002 Feb. 1, 2010	TIAS.
Gambia Germany	June 20, 1978 Oct. 21, 1986 Apr. 18, 2006	June 24, 1935 Aug. 29, 1980 Mar. 11, 1993 Feb. 1, 2010	47 Stat. 2122. 32 UST 1485. TIAS.
Ghana Greece	Dec. 22, 1931 May 6, 1931 Sept. 2, 1937 Jan. 18, 2006	June 24, 1935 Nov. 1, 1932 Sept. 2, 1937 Feb. 1, 2010	47 Stat. 2122. 47 Stat. 2185. 51 Stat. 357.
Grenada Guatemala	May 30, 1996 Feb. 27, 1903 Feb. 20, 1940	Sept. 14, 1999 Aug. 15, 1903 Mar. 13, 1941	TIAS. 33 Stat. 2147. 55 Stat. 1097.
Guyana Haiti Honduras	Dec. 22, 1931 Aug. 9, 1904 Jan 15 1909	June 24, 1935 June 28, 1905 July 10, 1912	47 Stat. 2122. 34 Stat. 2858. 37 Stat. 1616. 45 Stat. 2489.
Hong Kong Hungary	Jec. 22, 1931 Aug. 9, 1904 Jan. 15, 1909 Feb. 21, 1927 Dec. 20, 1996 Dec. 1, 1994 Nov. 15, 2005 Jan. 6, 1902 Nov. 6, 1905 June 25, 1997	June 24, 1935 June 28, 1905 July 10, 1912 June 5, 1928 Jan. 21, 1998 Mar. 18, 1997 Feb. 1, 2010 May 16, 1902 Feb. 19, 1906 July 21, 1999 Apr. 23, 1936 Dec. 15, 1984 Dec. 5, 1963 Jan. 10, 2007 Sept. 24, 1984	45 Stat. 2489. TIAS. TIAS.
Iceland	Nov. 15, 2005 Jan. 6, 1902	Feb. 1, 2010 May 16, 1902 Feb. 19, 1906	32 Stat. 1096. 34 Stat. 2887.
India Iraq Ireland	Nov. 6, 1905 June 25, 1997 June 7, 1934 July 13, 1983 July 14, 2005 Dec. 10, 1962 July 6, 2005 Oct. 13, 1983 May 3, 2006 June 14, 1983 Mar 3, 1978	July 21, 1999 Apr. 23, 1936 Dec. 15, 1984	TIAS 12873. 49 Stat. 3380. TIAS 10813.
Israel	July 14, 2005 Dec. 10, 1962	Feb. 1, 2010 Dec. 5, 1963	14 UST 1707.2
Italy	Oct. 13, 1983 May 3, 2006	Sept. 24, 1984 Feb. 1, 2010	35 UST 3023.
Jamaica Japan		Feb. 1, 2010 July 7, 1991 Mar. 26, 1980	TIAS. 31 UST 892. TIAS.
Jordan Kenya	Mar. 28, 1995 Dec. 22, 1931 May 14, Aug. 19, 1965.	July 29, 1995 June 24, 1935 Aug. 19, 1965	47 Stat. 2122. 16 UST 1866.
Kiribati Latvia	June 8, 1972 Oct. 16, 1923 Oct. 10, 1934	Jan. 21, 1977 Mar. 1, 1924 Mar. 29, 1935	28 UST 227. 43 Stat. 1738. 49 Stat. 3131.
Lesotho Liberia Liechten-	Dec. 7, 2005 Dec. 22, 1931 Nov. 1, 1937 May 20, 1936	Mar. 29, 1935 Apr. 15, 2009 June 24, 1935 Nov. 21, 1939 June 28, 1937	47 Stat. 2122. 54 Stat. 1733. 50 Stat. 1337.
stein. Lithuania	Oct. 23, 2001 June 15, 2005	Mar. 31, 2003 Feb. 1, 2010	TIAS 13166.
Luxembourg	Oct. 1, 1996 Feb. 1, 2005 Dec. 22, 1931	Feb. 1, 2002 Feb. 1, 2010	TIAS 12804.
Malawi	Dec. 17, 1966, Jan. 6, Apr.	June 24, 1935 Apr. 4, 1967	47 Stat. 2122. 18 UST 1822.
Malaysia Malta	4, 1967. Aug. 3, 1995 Dec. 22, 1931 May 18, 2006 Apr. 30, 2003	June 2, 1997 June 24, 1935 July 1, 2009	TIAS. 47 Stat. 2122.
Marshall Is- lands.		May 1, 2004	45 01 1 0100
Mauritius Mexico	Dec. 22, 1931 May 4, 1978 Nov. 13, 1997 May 14, 2003	June 24, 1935 Jan. 25, 1980 May 21, 2001	47 Stat. 2122. 31 UST 5059. TIAS 12897.
Micronesia, Federated States of. Monaco	Feb. 15, 1939	June 25, 2004 Mar. 28, 1940	54 Stat. 1780.
Nauru Netherlands	Dec. 22, 1931	Aug. 30, 1935 Sept. 15, 1983 Feb. 1, 2010	47 Stat. 2122. 35 UST 1334.
New Zealand Nicaragua	Sept. 29, 2004 Jan. 12, 1970 Mar. 1, 1905 Dec. 22, 1931 June 9, 1977 Dec. 22, 1931 May 25, 1904	Dec 8 1970	22 UST 1. 35 Stat. 1869.
Nigeria Norway Pakistan	June 9, 1977 Dec. 22, 1931	July 14, 1907 June 24, 1935 Mar. 7, 1980 Mar. 9, 1942	47 Stat. 2122. 31 UST 5619. 47 Stat. 2122.
Panama Papua New Guinea.	Dec. 22, 1931	Aug. 30, 1935	34 Stat. 2851. 47 Stat. 2122.
Paraguay Peru	Feb. 2, 23, 1988 Nov. 9, 1998 July 26, 2001	Feb. 23, 1988 Mar. 9, 2001 Aug. 25, 2003	TIAS. TIAS 12995.
Philippines Poland	Nov. 13, 1994 July 10, 1996 June 9, 2006	Nov. 22, 1996	TIAS. TIAS.

Country	Date signed	Entered into force	Citation
Portugal	May 7, 1908 July 14, 2005	Nov. 14, 1908 Feb. 1, 2010	35 Stat. 2071.
Romania	July 23, 1924 Nov. 10, 1936 Sept. 10, 2007	Apr. 7, 1925 July 27, 1937 May 8, 2009	44 Stat. 2020. 50 Stat. 1349.
Saint Kitts and Nevis.	Sept. 18, 1996	Feb. 23, 2000	TIAS 12805.
Saint Lucia Saint Vin- cent and the Grena- dines.	Apr. 18, 1996 Aug. 15, 1996	Feb. 2, 2000 Sept. 8, 1999	TIAS. TIAS.
San Marino	Jan. 10, 1906 Oct. 10, 1934	July 8, 1908 June 28, 1935	35 Stat. 1971. 49 Stat. 3198.
Seychelles Sierra Leone Singapore	Dec. 22, 1931 Dec. 22, 1931 Dec. 22, 1931 Apr. 23, June 10, 1969.	June 24, 1935 June 24, 1935 June 24, 1935 June 10, 1969	47 Stat. 2122. 47 Stat. 2122. 47 Stat. 2122. 20 UST 2764.
Slovakia ¹	July 2, 1925 Apr. 29, 1935 Feb. 6, 2006	Mar. 29, 1926 Aug. 28, 1935 Feb. 1, 2010	44 Stat. 2367. 49 Stat. 3253.
Slovenia ¹ Solomon Islands.	Oct. 17, 2005 June 8, 1972	Feb. 1, 2010 Jan. 21, 1977	28 UST 277.
South Africa South Korea Spain	Sept. 16, 1999 June 9, 1998 May 29, 1970 Jan. 25, 1975 Feb. 9, 1988 Mar. 12, 1996 Dec. 17, 2004	June 25, 2001 Dec. 20, 1999 June 16, 1971 June 2, 1978 July 2, 1993 July 25, 1999 Feb. 1, 2010	TIAS. TIAS 12962. 22 UST 737. 29 UST 2283. TIAS. TIAS.
Sri Lanka Suriname	Sept. 30, 1999 June 2, 1887 Jan. 18, 1904	Jan. 12, 2001 July 11, 1889 Aug. 28, 1904	TIAS. 26 Stat. 1481. 33 Stat. 2257.
Swaziland	May 13. July	June 24, 1935 July 28, 1970	47 Stat. 2122. 21 UST 1930.
Sweden	28, 1970. Oct. 24, 1961 Mar. 14, 1983 Dec. 16, 2004	Dec. 3, 1963 Sept. 24, 1984 Feb. 1, 2010	14 UST 1845. 35 UST 2501.
Switzerland Tanzania	Nov. 14, 1990 Dec. 22, 1931 Nov. 30, Dec. 6, 1965.	Sept. 10, 1997 June 24, 1935 Dec. 6, 1965	TIAS. 47 Stat. 2122. 16 UST 2066.
Thailand Tonga	Dec. 14, 1983 Dec. 22, 1931 Mar. 14, Apr. 13, 1977.	May 17, 1991 Aug. 1, 1966 Apr. 13, 1977	TIAS. 47 Stat. 2122. 28 UST 5290.
Trinidad and Tobago.	Mar. 4, 1996	Nov. 29, 1999	TIAS.
Turkey Tuvalu	June 7, 1979 June 8, 1972	Jan. 1, 1981 Jan. 21, 1977 Apr. 25, 1980	32 UST 3111. 28 UST 227. 32 UST 1310.
United Kingdom. Uruguay	Mar. 31, 2003 Dec. 16, 2004 Apr. 6, 1973	Apr. 25, 1980 Apr. 26, 2007 Feb. 1, 2010 Apr. 11, 1984	35 UST 3197.
Venezuela Yugoslavia ¹ Zambia	Jan. 19, 21, 1922 Oct. 25, 1901 Dec. 22, 1931	Apr. 14, 1923 June 12, 1902 June 24, 1935	43 Stat. 1698. 32 Stat. 1890. 47 Stat. 2122.
Zimbabwe	July 25, 1997	Apr. 26, 2000	

¹ Status of agreements with successor states of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia is under review; inquire of the Treaty Office of the United States Department of State. ²Typographical error corrected by diplomatic notes exchanged Apr. 4 and 11, 1967. See 18 UST 382, 383.

CONVENTION ON EXTRADITION

The United States is a party to the Multilateral Convention on Extradition signed at Montevideo on Dec. 26, 1933, entered into force for the United States on Jan. 25, 1935. 49 Stat. 3111.

Other states which have become parties: Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Pan-

§ 3182. Fugitives from State or Territory to State, District, or Territory

Whenever the executive authority of any State or Territory demands any person as a fugitive from justice, of the executive authority of any State, District, or Territory to which such person has fled, and produces a copy of an indictment found or an affidavit made before a magistrate of any State or Territory, charging the person demanded with having committed treason, felony, or other crime, certified as authentic by the governor or chief magistrate of the State or Territory from whence the person so charged has fled, the executive authority of

the State, District, or Territory to which such person has fled shall cause him to be arrested and secured, and notify the executive authority making such demand, or the agent of such authority appointed to receive the fugitive, and shall cause the fugitive to be delivered to such agent when he shall appear. If no such agent appears within thirty days from the time of the arrest, the prisoner may be discharged.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 822; Pub. L. 104-294, title VI, §601(f)(9), Oct. 11, 1996, 110 Stat. 3500.)

HISTORICAL AND REVISION NOTES

Based on title 18, U.S.C., 1940 ed., §662 (R.S. §5278).

Last sentence as to costs and expenses to be paid by the demanding authority was incorporated in section

Word "District" was inserted twice to make section equally applicable to fugitives found in the District of

"Thirty days" was substituted for "six months" since, in view of modern conditions, the smaller time is ample for the demanding authority to act.

Minor changes were made in phraseology.

AMENDMENTS

1996—Pub. L. 104-294 inserted comma after "District" in section catchline and in two places in text.

§ 3183. Fugitives from State, Territory, or Possession into extraterritorial jurisdiction of **United States**

Whenever the executive authority of any State, Territory, District, or possession of the United States demands any American citizen or national as a fugitive from justice who has fled to a country in which the United States exercises extraterritorial jurisdiction, and produces a copy of an indictment found or an affidavit made before a magistrate of the demanding jurisdiction, charging the fugitive so demanded with having committed treason, felony, or other offense, certified as authentic by the Governor or chief magistrate of such demanding jurisdiction, or other person authorized to act, the officer or representative of the United States vested with judicial authority to whom the demand has been made shall cause such fugitive to be arrested and secured, and notify the executive authorities making such demand, or the agent of such authority appointed to receive the fugitive, and shall cause the fugitive to be delivered to such agent when he shall appear.

If no such agent shall appear within three months from the time of the arrest, the prisoner may be discharged.

The agent who receives the fugitive into his custody shall be empowered to transport him to the jurisdiction from which he has fled.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 822; Pub. L. 107-273, div. B, title IV, §4004(d), Nov. 2, 2002, 116 Stat. 1812.)

HISTORICAL AND REVISION NOTES

Based on title 18, U.S.C., 1940 ed., §662c (Mar. 22, 1934, ch. 73, §2, 48 Stat. 455).

Said section 662c was incorporated in this section and sections 752 and 3195 of this title.

Provision as to costs or expenses to be paid by the demanding authority were incorporated in section 3196 of this title.