such subchapter, were repealed, effective 6 years after Nov. 29, 1990, except for then pending proceedings, was repealed by Pub. L. 104–320, §11(a), Oct. 19, 1996, 110 Stat. 3873

SHORT TITLE OF 1992 AMENDMENT

Pub. L. 102–354, §1, Aug. 26, 1992, 106 Stat. 944, provided that: "This Act [amending sections 565, 568, 569, 571, 577, 580, 581, and 593 of this title, section 10 of Title 9, Arbitration, and section 173 of Title 29, Labor, renumbering sections 571 to 576, 581 to 590, and 581 to 593 as 591 to 596, 561 to 570, and 571 to 583, respectively, of this title, and amending provisions set out as notes under this section and section 571 of this title] may be cited as the 'Administrative Procedure Technical Amendments Act of 1991'."

SHORT TITLE OF 1990 AMENDMENT

Pub. L. 101-648, §1, Nov. 29, 1990, 104 Stat. 4969, provided that: "This Act [enacting this subchapter] may be cited as the 'Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990'."

CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS

Pub. L. 101-648, §2, Nov. 29, 1990, 104 Stat. 4969, provided that: "The Congress makes the following findings:

"(1) Government regulation has increased substantially since the enactment of the Administrative Procedure Act [see Short Title note set out preceding section 551 of this title].

- "(2) Agencies currently use rulemaking procedures that may discourage the affected parties from meeting and communicating with each other, and may cause parties with different interests to assume conflicting and antagonistic positions and to engage in expensive and time-consuming litigation over agency rules.
- "(3) Adversarial rulemaking deprives the affected parties and the public of the benefits of face-to-face negotiations and cooperation in developing and reaching agreement on a rule. It also deprives them of the benefits of shared information, knowledge, expertise, and technical abilities possessed by the affected parties.
- "(4) Negotiated rulemaking, in which the parties who will be significantly affected by a rule participate in the development of the rule, can provide significant advantages over adversarial rulemaking.
- "(5) Negotiated rulemaking can increase the acceptability and improve the substance of rules, making it less likely that the affected parties will resist enforcement or challenge such rules in court. It may also shorten the amount of time needed to issue final rules.
- "(6) Agencies have the authority to establish negotiated rulemaking committees under the laws establishing such agencies and their activities and under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.). Several agencies have successfully used negotiated rulemaking. The process has not been widely used by other agencies, however, in part because such agencies are unfamiliar with the process or uncertain as to the authority for such rulemaking."

AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Pub. L. 101–648, §4, Nov. 29, 1990, 104 Stat. 4976, as amended by Pub. L. 102–354, §5(a)(1), Aug. 26, 1992, 106 Stat. 945, authorized additional appropriations to Administrative Conference of the United States to carry out Pub. L. 101–648 in fiscal years 1991, 1992, and 1993.

§ 562. Definitions

For the purposes of this subchapter, the

- (1) "agency" has the same meaning as in section 551(1) of this title;
- (2) "consensus" means unanimous concurrence among the interests represented on a ne-

gotiated rulemaking committee established under this subchapter, unless such committee...

- (A) agrees to define such term to mean a general but not unanimous concurrence; or
- (B) agrees upon another specified definition;
- (3) "convener" means a person who impartially assists an agency in determining whether establishment of a negotiated rulemaking committee is feasible and appropriate in a particular rulemaking;
- (4) "facilitator" means a person who impartially aids in the discussions and negotiations among the members of a negotiated rule-making committee to develop a proposed rule;
- (5) "interest" means, with respect to an issue or matter, multiple parties which have a similar point of view or which are likely to be affected in a similar manner;
- (6) "negotiated rulemaking" means rulemaking through the use of a negotiated rulemaking committee;
- (7) "negotiated rulemaking committee" or "committee" means an advisory committee established by an agency in accordance with this subchapter and the Federal Advisory Committee Act to consider and discuss issues for the purpose of reaching a consensus in the development of a proposed rule;
- (8) "party" has the same meaning as in section 551(3) of this title;
- (9) "person" has the same meaning as in section 551(2) of this title:
- (10) "rule" has the same meaning as in section 551(4) of this title; and
- (11) "rulemaking" means "rule making" as that term is defined in section 551(5) of this title

(Added Pub. L. 101–648, §3(a), Nov. 29, 1990, 104 Stat. 4970, §582; renumbered §562, Pub. L. 102–354, §3(a)(2), Aug. 26, 1992, 106 Stat. 944.)

REFERENCES IN TEXT

The Federal Advisory Committee Act, referred to in par. (7), is Pub. L. 92-463, Oct. 6, 1972, 86 Stat. 770, as amended, which is set out in the Appendix to this title.

AMENDMENTS

 $1992\mathrm{-Pub}.$ L. $102\mathrm{-}354$ renumbered section 582 of this title as this section.

§ 563. Determination of need for negotiated rulemaking committee

- (a) DETERMINATION OF NEED BY THE AGENCY.—An agency may establish a negotiated rule-making committee to negotiate and develop a proposed rule, if the head of the agency determines that the use of the negotiated rulemaking procedure is in the public interest. In making such a determination, the head of the agency shall consider whether—
 - (1) there is a need for a rule;
 - (2) there are a limited number of identifiable interests that will be significantly affected by the rule;
 - (3) there is a reasonable likelihood that a committee can be convened with a balanced representation of persons who—
 - (A) can adequately represent the interests identified under paragraph (2); and