

describing the progress during the preceding fiscal year to achieve and maintain the percentage of depot-level maintenance required to be performed by employees of the Department of Defense pursuant to subsection (a).

“(2) Not later than January 15, 1994, the Secretary of each military department and the Secretary of Defense, with respect to the Defense Agencies, shall jointly submit to Congress a report described in paragraph (1).”

1992—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 102-484, §352(a), amended subsec. (a) generally. Prior to amendment, subsec. (a) read as follows: “PERCENTAGE LIMITATION.—Not less than 60 percent of the funds available for each fiscal year for depot-level maintenance of materiel managed for the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force shall be used for the performance of such depot-level maintenance by employees of the Department of Defense.”

Subsec. (c). Pub. L. 102-484, §352(b), substituted “The Secretary of the military department concerned and, with respect to a Defense Agency, the Secretary of Defense” for “The Secretary of the Army, with respect to the Department of the Army, and the Secretary of the Air Force, with respect to the Department of the Air Force.”

Subsec. (e). Pub. L. 102-484, §352(c), designated existing provisions as par. (1) and added par. (2).

1991—Pub. L. 102-190 substituted section catchline for one which read “Prohibition on certain depot maintenance workload competitions” and amended text generally. Prior to amendment, text read as follows: “The Secretary of Defense shall prohibit the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Air Force, in selecting an entity to perform any depot maintenance workload, from carrying out a competition for such selection—

“(1) between or among maintenance activities of the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force; or

“(2) between a maintenance activity of either such department and a private contractor.”

1989—Pub. L. 101-189, in introductory provisions, substituted “shall prohibit” for “may not require”, “Army and” for “Army or”, and “from carrying out” for “to carry out”.

CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS

Pub. L. 103-337, div. A, title III, §331, Oct. 5, 1994, 108 Stat. 2715, provided that: “Congress makes the following findings:

“(1) By providing the Armed Forces with a critical capacity to respond to the needs of the Armed Forces for depot-level maintenance and repair of weapon systems and equipment, the depot-level maintenance and repair activities of the Department of Defense play an essential role in maintaining the readiness of the Armed Forces.

“(2) It is appropriate for the capability of the depot-level maintenance and repair activities of the Department of Defense to perform maintenance and repair of weapon systems and equipment to be based on policies that take into consideration the readiness, mobilization, and deployment requirements of the military departments.

“(3) It is appropriate for the management of employees of the depot-level maintenance and repair activities of the Department of Defense to be based on the amount of workload necessary to be performed by such activities to maintain the readiness of the weapon systems and equipment of the military departments and on the funds made available for the performance of such workload.”

REUTILIZATION INITIATIVE FOR DEPOT-LEVEL ACTIVITIES

Pub. L. 103-337, div. A, title III, §337, Oct. 5, 1994, 108 Stat. 2717, provided that:

“(a) PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct activities to encourage commercial firms to enter into partnerships with depot-level activities of the military departments for the purposes of—

“(1) demonstrating commercial uses of the depot-level activities that are related to the principal mission of the depot-level activities;

“(2) preserving employment and skills of employees currently employed by the depot-level activities or providing for the reemployment and retraining of employees who, as the result of the closure, realignment, or reduced in-house workload of such activities, may become unemployed; and

“(3) supporting the goals of other defense conversion, reinvestment, and transition assistance programs while also allowing the depot-level activities to remain in operation to continue to perform their defense readiness mission.

“(b) CONDITIONS.—The Secretary shall ensure that activities conducted under this section—

“(1) do not interfere with the closure or realignment of a depot-level activity of the military departments under a base closure law; and

“(2) do not adversely affect the readiness or primary mission of a participating depot-level activity.”

CONTINUATION OF PERCENTAGE LIMITATIONS ON PERFORMANCE OF DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE

Pub. L. 103-160, div. A, title III, §343, Nov. 30, 1993, 107 Stat. 1624, provided that: “The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the percentage limitations applicable to the depot-level maintenance workload performed by non-Federal Government personnel set forth in section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, are adhered to.”

EFFECT OF 1992 AMENDMENTS ON EXISTING CONTRACTS

Pub. L. 102-484, div. A, title III, §352(d), Oct. 23, 1992, 106 Stat. 2378, provided that: “The Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of Defense, with respect to the Defense Agencies, may not cancel a depot-level maintenance contract in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act [Oct. 23, 1992] in order to comply with the requirements of section 2466(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a).”

PROHIBITION ON CANCELLATION OF CONTRACTS IN EFFECT ON DECEMBER 5, 1991

Pub. L. 102-190, div. A, title III, §314(a)(3), Dec. 5, 1991, 105 Stat. 1337, provided that: “The Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Air Force may not cancel a depot-level maintenance contract in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act [Dec. 5, 1991] in order to comply with the requirements of section 2466(a) of such title, as amended by subsection (a).”

COMPETITION PILOT PROGRAM; REVIEW AND REPORT

Pub. L. 102-190, div. A, title III, §314(b)-(d), Dec. 5, 1991, 105 Stat. 1337, as amended by Pub. L. 102-484, div. A, title III, §354, Oct. 23, 1992, 106 Stat. 2379, required the Comptroller General to submit to Congress, not later than Feb. 1, 1994, an evaluation of all depot maintenance workloads of the Department of Defense that were performed by an entity selected pursuant to competitive procedures, and required the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress, not later than Dec. 1, 1993, a report containing a five-year strategy of the Department of Defense to use competitive procedures for the selection of entities to perform depot maintenance workloads and describing the cost savings anticipated.

PILOT PROGRAM FOR DEPOT MAINTENANCE WORKLOAD COMPETITION

Pub. L. 101-510, div. A, title IX, §922, Nov. 5, 1990, 104 Stat. 1627, authorized a depot maintenance workload competition pilot program during fiscal year 1991, outlined elements of the program, and provided for a report not later than Mar. 31, 1992, to congressional defense committees, prior to repeal by Pub. L. 102-190, div. A, title III, §314(b)(2), Dec. 5, 1991, 105 Stat. 1337.

[§ 2467. Repealed. Pub. L. 110-181, div. A, title III, § 322(b)(1), Jan. 28, 2008, 122 Stat. 59]

Section, added Pub. L. 100-456, div. A, title III, §331(a), Sept. 29, 1988, 102 Stat. 1957; amended Pub. L.

106-65, div. A, title III, §342(a), (b)(1), Oct. 5, 1999, 113 Stat. 569; Pub. L. 107-107, div. A, title X, §1048(a)(22), Dec. 28, 2001, 115 Stat. 1224, related to cost comparisons: inclusion of retirement costs; consultation with employees; waiver of comparison.

[§ 2468. Repealed. Pub. L. 107-107, div. A, title X, § 1048(e)(10)(A), Dec. 28, 2001, 115 Stat. 1228]

Section, added Pub. L. 101-189, div. A, title XI, §1131(a)(1), Nov. 29, 1989, 103 Stat. 1560; amended Pub. L. 101-510, div. A, title IX, §921, Nov. 5, 1990, 104 Stat. 1627; Pub. L. 102-190, div. A, title III, §315(a), Dec. 5, 1991, 105 Stat. 1337; Pub. L. 103-160, div. A, title III, §370(c), Nov. 30, 1993, 107 Stat. 1634; Pub. L. 103-337, div. A, title III, §386(c), Oct. 5, 1994, 108 Stat. 2742, related to authority of military base commanders over contracting for commercial activities.

§ 2469. Contracts to perform workloads previously performed by depot-level activities of the Department of Defense: requirement of competition

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR COMPETITION.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the performance of a depot-level maintenance and repair workload described in subsection (b) is not changed to performance by a contractor or by another depot-level activity of the Department of Defense unless the change is made using—

- (1) merit-based selection procedures for competitions among all depot-level activities of the Department of Defense; or
- (2) competitive procedures for competitions among private and public sector entities.

(b) SCOPE.—Except as provided in subsection (c), subsection (a) applies to any depot-level maintenance and repair workload that has a value of not less than \$3,000,000 (including the cost of labor and materials) and is being performed by a depot-level activity of the Department of Defense.

(c) EXCEPTION FOR PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.—The requirements of subsection (a) may be waived in the case of a depot-level maintenance and repair workload that is performed at a Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence designated under subsection (a) of section 2474 of this title by a public-private partnership entered into under subsection (b) of such section consisting of a depot-level activity and a private entity.

(d) INAPPLICABILITY OF OMB CIRCULAR A-76.—Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 (or any successor administrative regulation or policy) does not apply to a performance change to which subsection (a) applies.

(Added Pub. L. 102-484, div. A, title III, §353(a), Oct. 23, 1992, 106 Stat. 2378; amended Pub. L. 103-160, div. A, title III, §346, title XI, §1182(a)(7), Nov. 30, 1993, 107 Stat. 1625, 1771; Pub. L. 103-337, div. A, title III, §338, Oct. 5, 1994, 108 Stat. 2718; Pub. L. 104-106, div. A, title III, §311(f)(1), Feb. 10, 1996, 110 Stat. 248; Pub. L. 105-85, div. A, title III, §§355(b), 363, Nov. 18, 1997, 111 Stat. 1694, 1702; Pub. L. 106-65, div. A, title III, §334, Oct. 5, 1999, 113 Stat. 568; Pub. L. 108-136, div. A, title III, §333, Nov. 24, 2003, 117 Stat. 1442.)

AMENDMENTS

2003—Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 108-136, §333(1), substituted “Except as provided in subsection (c), subsection” for “Subsection”.

Subsecs. (c), (d). Pub. L. 108-136, §333(2), (3), added subsec. (c) and redesignated former subsec. (c) as (d).

1999—Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 106-65 inserted “(including the cost of labor and materials)” after “\$3,000,000”.

1997—Pub. L. 105-85, §363, repealed Pub. L. 104-106, §311(f)(1). See 1996 Amendment note below.

Subsecs. (a), (b). Pub. L. 105-85, §355(b), substituted “maintenance and repair” for “maintenance or repair”.

1996—Pub. L. 104-106, §311(f)(1), which directed repeal of this section, was repealed by Pub. L. 105-85, §363.

1994—Pub. L. 103-337 amended section generally. Prior to amendment, section read as follows:

“(a) REQUIREMENT FOR COMPETITION.—The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department may not change the performance of a depot-level maintenance workload that has a value of not less than \$3,000,000 and is being performed by a depot-level activity of the Department of Defense to performance by a contractor unless the Secretary uses competitive procedures for the selection of the contractor to perform such workload.

“(b) INAPPLICABILITY OF OMB CIRCULAR A-76.—The use of Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 shall not apply to a performance change under subsection (a).”

1993—Pub. L. 103-160, §346, amended section, as amended by Pub. L. 103-160, §1182(a)(7), (h), by designating existing provisions as subsec. (a), inserting heading, striking out “threshold” before “value”, substituting “to performance by a contractor unless the Secretary uses competitive procedures for the selection of the contractor to perform such workload” for “unless the Secretary uses competitive procedures to make the change”, and adding subsec. (b).

Pub. L. 103-160, §1182(a)(7), struck out “, prior to any such change,” after “Department of Defense unless”.

[§ 2469a. Repealed. Pub. L. 107-314, div. A, title III, § 333(a), Dec. 2, 2002, 116 Stat. 2514]

Section, added Pub. L. 105-85, div. A, title III, §359(a)(1), Nov. 18, 1997, 111 Stat. 1696; amended Pub. L. 106-65, div. A, title III, §335, title X, §1066(a)(20), Oct. 5, 1999, 113 Stat. 568, 771, related to use of competitive procedures in contracting for performance of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads formerly performed at closed or realigned military installations.

§ 2470. Depot-level activities of the Department of Defense: authority to compete for maintenance and repair workloads of other Federal agencies

A depot-level activity of the Department of Defense shall be eligible to compete for the performance of any depot-level maintenance and repair workload of a Federal agency for which competitive procedures are used to select the entity to perform the workload.

(Added Pub. L. 103-337, div. A, title III, §335(a), Oct. 5, 1994, 108 Stat. 2716.)

[§ 2471. Repealed. Pub. L. 106-398, § 1 [[div. A], title III, §341(g)(1)], Oct. 30, 2000, 114 Stat. 1654, 1654A-64]

Section, added Pub. L. 103-337, div. A, title III, §336(a), Oct. 5, 1994, 108 Stat. 2717; amended Pub. L. 104-106, div. A, title XV, §1503(a)(26), Feb. 10, 1996, 110 Stat. 512; Pub. L. 105-85, div. A, title III, §361(b)(1), Nov. 18, 1997, 111 Stat. 1701, related to lease of excess depot-level equipment and facilities by persons outside the Department of Defense.

§ 2472. Prohibition on management of depot employees by end strength

The civilian employees of the Department of Defense, including the civilian employees of the