

§ 37b. Confirmation of antitrust status of graduate medical resident matching programs

(a) Findings and purposes

(1) Findings

Congress makes the following findings:

(A) For over 50 years, most United States medical school seniors and the large majority of graduate medical education programs (popularly known as “residency programs”) have chosen to use a matching program to match medical students with residency programs to which they have applied. These matching programs have been an integral part of an educational system that has produced the finest physicians and medical researchers in the world.

(B) Before such matching programs were instituted, medical students often felt pressure, at an unreasonably early stage of their medical education, to seek admission to, and accept offers from, residency programs. As a result, medical students often made binding commitments before they were in a position to make an informed decision about a medical specialty or a residency program and before residency programs could make an informed assessment of students’ qualifications. This situation was inefficient, chaotic, and unfair and it often led to placements that did not serve the interests of either medical students or residency programs.

(C) The original matching program, now operated by the independent non-profit National Resident Matching Program and popularly known as “the Match”, was developed and implemented more than 50 years ago in response to widespread student complaints about the prior process. This Program includes on its board of directors individuals nominated by medical student organizations as well as by major medical education and hospital associations.

(D) The Match uses a computerized mathematical algorithm, as students had recommended, to analyze the preferences of students and residency programs and match students with their highest preferences from among the available positions in residency programs that listed them. Students thus obtain a residency position in the most highly ranked program on their list that has ranked them sufficiently high among its preferences. Each year, about 85 percent of participating United States medical students secure a place in one of their top 3 residency program choices.

(E) Antitrust lawsuits challenging the matching process, regardless of their merit or lack thereof, have the potential to undermine this highly efficient, pro-competitive, and long-standing process. The costs of defending such litigation would divert the scarce resources of our country’s teaching hospitals and medical schools from their crucial missions of patient care, physician training, and medical research. In addition, such costs may lead to abandonment of the matching process, which has effectively served the interests of medical students,

teaching hospitals, and patients for over half a century.

(2) Purposes

It is the purpose of this section to—

(A) confirm that the antitrust laws do not prohibit sponsoring, conducting, or participating in a graduate medical education residency matching program, or agreeing to do so; and

(B) ensure that those who sponsor, conduct or participate in such matching programs are not subjected to the burden and expense of defending against litigation that challenges such matching programs under the antitrust laws.

(b) Application of antitrust laws to graduate medical education residency matching programs

(1) Definitions

In this subsection:

(A) Antitrust laws

The term “antitrust laws”—

(i) has the meaning given such term in subsection (a) of section 12 of this title, except that such term includes section 45 of this title to the extent such section 45 applies to unfair methods of competition; and

(ii) includes any State law similar to the laws referred to in clause (i).

(B) Graduate medical education program

The term “graduate medical education program” means—

(i) a residency program for the medical education and training of individuals following graduation from medical school;

(ii) a program, known as a specialty or subspecialty fellowship program, that provides more advanced training; and

(iii) an institution or organization that operates, sponsors or participates in such a program.

(C) Graduate medical education residency matching program

The term “graduate medical education residency matching program” means a program (such as those conducted by the National Resident Matching Program) that, in connection with the admission of students to graduate medical education programs, uses an algorithm and matching rules to match students in accordance with the preferences of students and the preferences of graduate medical education programs.

(D) Student

The term “student” means any individual who seeks to be admitted to a graduate medical education program.

(2) Confirmation of antitrust status

It shall not be unlawful under the antitrust laws to sponsor, conduct, or participate in a graduate medical education residency matching program, or to agree to sponsor, conduct, or participate in such a program. Evidence of any of the conduct described in the preceding sentence shall not be admissible in Federal

court to support any claim or action alleging a violation of the antitrust laws.

(3) Applicability

Nothing in this section shall be construed to exempt from the antitrust laws any agreement on the part of 2 or more graduate medical education programs to fix the amount of the stipend or other benefits received by students participating in such programs.

(c) Effective date

This section shall take effect on April 10, 2004, shall apply to conduct whether it occurs prior to, on, or after April 10, 2004, and shall apply to all judicial and administrative actions or other proceedings pending on April 10, 2004.

(Pub. L. 108-218, title II, §207, Apr. 10, 2004, 118 Stat. 611.)

§ 38. Association of marine insurance companies; application of antitrust laws

(a) Whenever used in this section—

(1) The term “association” means any association, exchange, pool, combination, or other arrangement for concerted action; and

(2) The term “marine insurance companies” means any persons, companies, or associations, authorized to write marine insurance or reinsurance under the laws of the United States or of a State, Territory, District, or possession thereof.

(b) Nothing contained in the “antitrust laws” as designated in section 12 of this title, shall be construed as declaring illegal an association entered into by marine insurance companies for the following purposes: To transact a marine insurance and reinsurance business in the United States and in foreign countries and to reinsure or otherwise apportion among its membership the risks undertaken by such association or any of the component members.

(June 5, 1920, ch. 250, §29, 41 Stat. 1000.)

CODIFICATION

Section was classified to section 885 of the former Appendix to Title 46, prior to the completion of the enactment of Title 46, Shipping, by Pub. L. 109-304, Oct. 6, 2006, 120 Stat. 1485.

CHAPTER 2—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION; PROMOTION OF EXPORT TRADE AND PREVENTION OF UNFAIR METHODS OF COMPETITION

SUBCHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

- Sec.
- 41. Federal Trade Commission established; membership; vacancies; seal.
- 42. Employees; expenses.
- 43. Office and place of meeting.
- 44. Definitions.
- 45. Unfair methods of competition unlawful; prevention by Commission.
- 45a. Labels on products.
- 45b. Consumer review protection.
- 45c. Unfair and deceptive acts and practices relating to circumvention of ticket access control measures.
- 46. Additional powers of Commission.
- 46a. Concurrent resolution essential to authorize investigations

- Sec.
- 47. Reference of suits under antitrust statutes to Commission.
- 48. Information and assistance from departments.
- 49. Documentary evidence; depositions; witnesses.
- 50. Offenses and penalties.
- 51. Effect on other statutory provisions.
- 52. Dissemination of false advertisements.
- 53. False advertisements; injunctions and restraining orders.
- 54. False advertisements; penalties.
- 55. Additional definitions.
- 56. Commencement, defense, intervention and supervision of litigation and appeal by Commission or Attorney General.
- 57. Separability clause.
- 57a. Unfair or deceptive acts or practices rule-making proceedings.
- 57a-1. Omitted.
- 57b. Civil actions for violations of rules and cease and desist orders respecting unfair or deceptive acts or practices.
- 57b-1. Civil investigative demands.
- 57b-2. Confidentiality.
- 57b-2a. Confidentiality and delayed notice of compulsory process for certain third parties.
- 57b-2b. Protection for voluntary provision of information.
- 57b-3. Rulemaking process.
- 57b-4. Good faith reliance on actions of Board of Governors.
- 57b-5. Agricultural cooperatives.
- 57c. Authorization of appropriations.
- 57c-1. Staff exchanges.
- 57c-2. Reimbursement of expenses.
- 58. Short title.

SUBCHAPTER II—PROMOTION OF EXPORT TRADE

- 61. Export trade; definitions.
- 62. Export trade and antitrust legislation.
- 63. Acquisition of stock of export trade corporation.
- 64. Unfair methods of competition in export trade.
- 65. Information required from export trade corporation; powers of Federal Trade Commission.
- 66. Short title.

SUBCHAPTER III—LABELING OF WOOL PRODUCTS

- 68. Definitions.
- 68a. Misbranding declared unlawful.
- 68b. Misbranded wool products.
- 68c. Stamp, tag, label, or other identification.
- 68d. Enforcement of subchapter.
- 68e. Condemnation and injunction proceedings.
- 68f. Exclusion of misbranded wool products.
- 68g. Guaranty.
- 68h. Criminal penalty.
- 68i. Application of other laws.
- 68j. Exceptions from subchapter.

SUBCHAPTER IV—LABELING OF FUR PRODUCTS

- 69. Definitions.
- 69a. Violations of Federal Trade Commission Act.
- 69b. Misbranded fur products.
- 69c. False advertising and invoicing.
- 69d. Fur products imported into United States.
- 69e. Name guide for fur products.
- 69f. Enforcement of subchapter.
- 69g. Condemnation and injunction proceedings.
- 69h. Guaranty.
- 69i. Criminal penalty.
- 69j. Application of other laws.

SUBCHAPTER V—TEXTILE FIBER PRODUCTS IDENTIFICATION

- 70. Definitions.