

formance, and schedule goals for major defense acquisition programs of the Department of Defense and for each phase of the acquisition cycle of such programs.

(b) **EVALUATION OF COST GOALS.**—The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall evaluate the cost goals proposed for each major defense acquisition program of the Department.

(c) **SUNSET.**—The authority under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2018.

(Added Pub. L. 103-355, title V, § 5001(a)(1), Oct. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 3349; amended Pub. L. 104-106, div. A, title XV, § 1503(a)(20), div. D, title XLIII, § 4321(b)(1), Feb. 10, 1996, 110 Stat. 512, 671; Pub. L. 105-85, div. A, title VIII, § 841(a), Nov. 18, 1997, 111 Stat. 1843; Pub. L. 107-314, div. A, title X, § 1041(a)(8), Dec. 2, 2002, 116 Stat. 2645; Pub. L. 114-328, div. A, title VIII, § 833(a)(2), Dec. 23, 2016, 130 Stat. 2283.)

AMENDMENTS

2016—Subsec. (c). Pub. L. 114-328 added subsec. (c).
2002—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 107-314, § 1041(a)(8)(B), (C), struck out par. (1) designation and redesignated par. (2) as subsec. (b).

Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 107-314, § 1041(a)(8)(A), (C), redesignated subsec. (a)(2) as (b) and struck out heading and text of former subsec. (b). Text read as follows: “The Secretary of Defense shall include in the annual report submitted to Congress pursuant to section 113(c) of this title an assessment of whether major acquisition programs of the Department of Defense are achieving, on average, 90 percent of cost, performance, and schedule goals established pursuant to subsection (a) and whether the average period for converting emerging technology into operational capability has decreased by 50 percent or more from the average period required for such conversion as of October 13, 1994. The Secretary shall use data from existing management systems in making the assessment.”

Subsec. (c). Pub. L. 107-314, § 1041(a)(8)(A), struck out heading and text of subsec. (c). Text read as follows: “Whenever the Secretary of Defense, in the assessment required by subsection (b), determines that major defense acquisition programs of the Department of Defense are not achieving, on average, 90 percent of cost, performance, and schedule goals established pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that there is a timely review of major defense acquisition programs and other programs as appropriate. In conducting the review, the Secretary shall—

“(1) determine whether there is a continuing need for programs that are significantly behind schedule, over budget, or not in compliance with performance or capability requirements; and

“(2) identify suitable actions to be taken, including termination, with respect to such programs.”

1997—Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 105-85 substituted “whether major acquisition programs” for “whether major and nonmajor acquisition programs”.

1996—Subsec. (a)(2). Pub. L. 104-106, § 1503(a)(20), substituted “Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)” for “Comptroller of the Department of Defense”.

Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 104-106, § 4321(b)(1), substituted “October 13, 1994” for “the date of the enactment of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994”.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF 1996 AMENDMENT

For effective date and applicability of amendment by section 4321(b)(1) of Pub. L. 104-106, see section 4401 of Pub. L. 104-106, set out as a note under section 2302 of this title.

PILOT PROGRAMS FOR TESTING PROGRAM MANAGER PERFORMANCE OF PRODUCT SUPPORT OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITIES FOR LIFE CYCLE OF ACQUISITION PROGRAMS

Pub. L. 105-261, div. A, title VIII, § 816, Oct. 17, 1998, 112 Stat. 2088, authorized the Secretary of Defense to

designate 10 acquisition programs of the military departments as pilot programs on program manager responsibility for product support and required report to Congress by Feb. 1, 1999.

ENHANCED SYSTEM OF PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES

Pub. L. 103-355, title V, § 5001(b), Oct. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 3350, provided that, within one year after Oct. 13, 1994, the Secretary of Defense should review the incentives and personnel actions available for encouraging excellence in the management of defense acquisition programs and provide an enhanced system of incentives, including pay for performance, to facilitate the achievement of goals approved or defined pursuant to subsec. (a) of this section.

RECOMMENDED LEGISLATION

Pub. L. 103-355, title V, § 5001(c), Oct. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 3350, directed the Secretary of Defense, not later than one year after Oct. 13, 1994, to submit to Congress any recommended legislation that the Secretary considered necessary to carry out this section and otherwise to facilitate and enhance management of Department of Defense acquisition programs on the basis of performance.

[§ 2221. Repealed. Pub. L. 105-261, div. A, title IX, § 906(f)(1), Oct. 17, 1998, 112 Stat. 2096]

Section, added Pub. L. 104-106, div. A, title IX, § 914(a)(1), Feb. 10, 1996, 110 Stat. 412; amended Pub. L. 104-201, div. A, title X, § 1008(a), Sept. 23, 1996, 110 Stat. 2633; Pub. L. 105-85, div. A, title X, § 1006(a), Nov. 18, 1997, 111 Stat. 1869; Pub. L. 105-261, div. A, title X, § 1069(b)(2), Oct. 17, 1998, 112 Stat. 2136, related to Fisher House trust funds. See section 2493 of this title.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF REPEAL

Repeal effective 90 days after Oct. 17, 1998, see section 906(f)(3) of Pub. L. 105-261, set out as an Effective Date of 1998 Amendment note under section 1321 of Title 31, Money and Finance.

§ 2222. Defense business systems: business process reengineering; enterprise architecture; management

(a) **DEFENSE BUSINESS PROCESSES GENERALLY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that defense business processes are reviewed, and as appropriate revised, through business process reengineering to match best commercial practices, to the maximum extent practicable, so as to minimize customization of commercial business systems.

(b) **DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEMS GENERALLY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each covered defense business system developed, deployed, and operated by the Department of Defense—

(1) supports efficient business processes that have been reviewed, and as appropriate revised, through business process reengineering;

(2) is integrated into a comprehensive defense business enterprise architecture;

(3) is managed in a manner that provides visibility into, and traceability of, expenditures for the system; and

(4) uses an acquisition and sustainment strategy that prioritizes the use of commercial software and business practices.

(c) **ISSUANCE OF GUIDANCE.**—

(1) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE GUIDANCE.**—The Secretary shall issue guidance to provide for the coordination of, and decision making for, the planning, programming, and control of in-