

eral benefits, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1710. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 95-395, §11, Sept. 30, 1978, 92 Stat. 817, which authorized appropriations of \$3,500,000 to carry out the purposes of this subchapter, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1711. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 95-395, §12, Sept. 30, 1978, 92 Stat. 817, which related to limitation of actions and jurisdiction, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1712. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 95-395, §13, Sept. 30, 1978, 92 Stat. 817, which related to approval of prior transfers and extinguishment of claims and aboriginal title outside the town of Charlestown, Rhode Island, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

PART B—TAX TREATMENT

**§ 1715. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 95-395, title II, §201, as added Pub. L. 96-601, §5(a), Dec. 24, 1980, 94 Stat. 3498, which provided for tax exemption for settlement lands, with certain exceptions, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1716. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 95-395, title II, §202, as added Pub. L. 96-601, §5(a), Dec. 24, 1980, 94 Stat. 3499; amended Pub. L. 99-514, §2, Oct. 22, 1986, 100 Stat. 2095, which related to deferral of capital gains, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

SUBCHAPTER II—MAINE INDIAN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT

**§ 1721. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §2, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1785, which set out Congressional findings and declaration of policy, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**Statutory Notes and Related Subsidiaries**

SHORT TITLE

Pub. L. 96-420, §1, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1785, provided that Pub. L. 96-420, enacting this subchapter, could be

cited as the “Maine Indian Claims Settlement Act of 1980”.

**§ 1722. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §3, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1786, which set out definitions for this subchapter, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1723. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §4, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1787, which related to approval of prior transfers and extinguishment of Indian title and claims of Indians within the State of Maine, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1724. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §5, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1788, which related to the Maine Indian Claims Settlement and Land Acquisition Funds, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1725. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §6, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1793; Pub. L. 97-428, §3, Jan. 8, 1983, 96 Stat. 2268, which provided for applicability of State law, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1726. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §7, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1795, which related to the tribal organization of the Passamaquoddy Tribe, the Penobscot Nation, and the Houlton Band of Maliseet Indians, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1727. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §8, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1795, which provided for implementation of the Indian Child Welfare Act in jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings, was omitted from the Code as being of special and not general application.

**§ 1728. Omitted**

**Editorial Notes**

CODIFICATION

Section, Pub. L. 96-420, §9, Oct. 10, 1980, 94 Stat. 1795, which provided that payments to a tribe under this subchapter would not affect eligibility of the State for